

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/818,565	03/28/2001	Hajime Amano	109102	2851		
25944 75	90 06/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	RRIDGE, PLC	KIM, PA	KIM, PAUL D			
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	· -		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3729	3729		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/818,565		AMANO ET AL.				
		-	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Paul D Kim		3729				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period fo	• •								
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 unication.) days, a reply w utory period will vill, by statute, c	i(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim I apply and will expire SI ause the application to b	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from t necome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>26 Apr</u>	<u>ril 2004</u> .						
· · · · ·	·								
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowand	e except for form	al matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12,15-18,20,21 and 23-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,14,19,22 and 26</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	election requirem	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian n	riority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
_	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			3 (=,	(-, -: (-,-				
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
•	2. Certified copies of the priority d				n No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies o	f the priority	y documents have	e been receive	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	for a list of	f the certified cop	ies not received	i .				
Attachmen	i(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			terview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT			aper No(s)/Mail Dat	e Itent Application (PTC) ₋ 152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/7/2001</u> .	·+O/SB/08)		ther:	tent Application (PTC	r-132)			

Application/Control Number: 09/818,565

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is a response to the election of species filed on 4/26/2004.

Response to the Election of Species

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species BBC, claims 13, 14, 19, 22 and 26, in the reply filed on 4/26/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that all the claims as filed would not require additional searching, not place any undue burden on the patent office. This is not found persuasive because these species are distinct for the reasons given last office action on Paper No. 5 such as Species A shown in FIG. 1, the first ferromagnetic film constituting the free layer, the electrically conductive film and the second ferromagnetic film constituting the pinned layer, and Species B shown in FIG. 2, the first ferromagnetic film constituting the pinned layer, the electrically conductive film and the second ferromagnetic film constituting the pinned layer, the electrically conductive film and the second ferromagnetic film constituting the free layer, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-12, 15-18, 20, 21 and 23-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/26/2004.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/818,565

Art Unit: 3729

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 13, 14, 19, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 13 the phrase "after a completion of a film making process for forming a previous film of two films to be formed successively, but before an initiation of a film making process for forming a succeeding film of said two films" as recited on lines 11-13 renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what the previous film of two films recited in lines 11-12 and the succeeding film of said two films are indicated. What are the two films? Are the undercoat film and the antiferromagnetic film or the first ferromagnetic film and electrically conductive film?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/818,565

Art Unit: 3729

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 13 and 26 are, as best understood in view of the rejections under 112 second paragraphs, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hasegawa (US PAT. 6,700,756).

In Figs. 12 and 13 Hasegawa teaches a process of making a spin valve element comprising steps of: forming an undercoat film (31) on a substrate (not shown); forming an antiferromagnetic film (22) on said undercoat film; forming, on said antiferromagnetic film, a first ferromagnetic film (23) serving as a pinned layer; forming an electrically conductive film (24) on said first ferromagnetic film; and forming, on said electrically conductive film, a second ferromagnetic film (25) serving as a free layer (see also col. 1, lines 63-67). Also, Hasegawa teaches that an anisotropic magnetic field of the spin valve film is decreased by interrupting a film making process such as annealing. Joule heat generated by the sensing current decreases the exchange anisotropic magnetic field (see also, col. 3, line 33 to col. 5, line 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/818,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa, and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Hasegawa teaches all of the limitations as set forth above except sputtering process to form the films of the spin valve film. Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) is described that upon manufacturing the spin valve film, the substrate is introduced into a sputtering vacuum chamber, and the films of the spin valve film are continuously formed by changing targets within the sputtering vacuum chamber on paragraph [0008] of page 2 of the specification. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a fabricating process of spin valve element of Hasegawa by sputtering in the vacuum chamber as taught by Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in order to form the films uniformly on the substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 19 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-8356.

Application/Control Number: 09/818,565

Art Unit: 3729

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim

Art Unit 3729